

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
HAMMOND DIVISION

ROBERT SALAZAR MORALES)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL)
SECURITY,)


Defendant.)

CIVIL NO. 2:03 CV 66

ORDER

The Court hereby **GRANTS** the Stipulation to Reversal With Remand of the Commissioner's Final Decision, and to Entry of Judgment, and **REVERSES** the Commissioner's final decision with **REMAND**, pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C § 405(g), for further administrative proceedings, to determine whether Plaintiff is entitled to Disability Insurance Benefits under Title XVI of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1382, 1382c. Upon remand, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) will reevaluate Plaintiff's credibility under the provisions of Social Security Ruling (SSR) 96-7p. The ALJ will also reevaluate Plaintiff's residual functional capacity (RFC) in consideration of the State Agency physician's opinion that Plaintiff could not perform "highly visual work" (Tr. 309). The ALJ will also obtain evidence from a vocational expert regarding whether any identified jobs conflict with the *Dictionary Of Occupational Titles*, pursuant to Social Security Ruling (SSR) 00-4p.

Dated this 9 day of September, 2003.



Andrew P. Rodovich, Magistrate Judge
United States District Court

DISTRIBUTION:

AUSA Carol A. Davilo, Esq
Frederick J. Daley, Jr., Esq.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
HAMMOND DIVISION

ROBERT SALAZAR MORALES)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL)
SECURITY,)

Defendant.)

CIVIL NO. 2:03 CV 66

JUDGMENT ENTRY

Judgment is hereby entered for Plaintiff, reversing Defendant's final decision with remand for further administrative proceedings.

Dated this 9 day of September, 2003.



Andrew P. Rodovich, Magistrate Judge
United States District Court

DISTRIBUTION:

AUSA Carol A. Davilo, Esq.

Frederick J. Daley, Jr., Esq.